

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT • 501 PRIMROSE ROAD • BURLINGAME, CA 94010 p: 650.558.7250 • f: 650.696.3790 • www.burlingame.org

APPLICATION TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION

Type of application:	
Design Review □ Variance □ Conditional Use Permit □ Special Perm t □	Parcel #: 026-013-050 Zoning / Other: <u>R-3</u>
PROJECT ADDRESS: 1457 El Camino Real	
APPLICANT	PROPERTY OWNER
Name: Rabih Balout	Name: Same as Applicant
Address: 3000 Hillside Drive	Address:
City/State/Zip: Burlingame CA 94010	City/State/Zip:
Phone: (650) 208-3886	Phone:
E-mail:rabih@oscartek.com	E-mail:
ARCHITECT/DESIGNER	
Name: Troy Kashanipour	
Address: 2325 3rd Street, Suite 401	
City/State/Zip: San Francisco, CA 94017	_
Phone: (415) 431-0869	_
E-mail:tk@tkworkshop.com	_
Burlingame Business License #: 32719	_
Authorization to Reproduce Project Plans: I hereby grant the City of Burlingame the authority to reproduce application on the City's website as part of the Planning application on the City's website as part of the Planning application out of or related to such action. TK (Initials of PROJECT DESCRIPTION: New 9 Unit Residential Co	proval process and waive any lains against the City f Architect/Designer)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: New 9 Offic Nesticential Co	
AFFIDAVIT/SIGNATURE: I hereby certify under penalty of perj best of my knowledge and belief.	
Applicant's signature:	Date:
I am aware of the proposed application and hereby authorize the Commission.	above applicant to submit this application to the Planning
Property owner's signature:	Date: JUL 1 4 2018
$^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$	Data submittee

Date submitted: _____CTTY OF BURLINGAME

\$\text{SMANDOUTS\PCApplication.doc}\$



CITY OF BURLINGAME CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION

RECEIVED

JUL 1 4 2018

CITY OF BURLINGAME CDD-PLANNING DIV.

The Planning Commission is required by law to make findings as defined by the City's Ordinance (Code Section 25.52.020). Your answers to the following questions can assist the Planning Commission in making the decision as to whether the findings can be made for your request. Please type or write neatly in ink. Refer to the back of this form for assistance with these questions.

- 1. Explain why the proposed use at the proposed location will not be detrimental or injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity or to public health, safety, general welfare or convenience. The proposed new residential building will replace existing older substandard housing stock. The current small residential buildings are in disrepair and the rear building is non-conforming in terms of rear setback. The proposed residential building is similar in height and massing to newer developements in the immediate area. The new building will be constructed to modern seismic standards, will be fully sprinklered, and will have fire alarm and monitoring system. The building will have parking adqueate for the needs of residence. The property will improve the overall quantity and quality of housing stock in Burlingame with two bedroom units suitable for smaller families.
- 2. How will the proposed use be located and conducted in accordance with the Burlingame General Plan and Zoning Ordinance?
 The C-3 Zoning designation allows a multi-family residential use. A height limit above 35' is subject to conditional use authorization. Granting of the Conditional Use Authorization for a building of greater height than 35' is consistent with a wider public-right of-way like El Camino Real. The proposed building will in scale with the larger residential apartment buildings along El Camino Real most of which are 3 stories in height but are un articulated in terms of materials and scale. The proposed building will be broken down vertically into zones: lobby/parking, podium (2nd story) and cap (3rd&4th) with material differentiation to break down to overall scale and massing. A 4-story building in this block is precedent by 1411 El Camino, with no adverse effect.
- 3. How will the proposed project be compatible with the aesthetics, mass, bulk and character of the existing and potential uses on adjoining properties in the general vicinity? El Camino Real is a street with buildings that are stylistically eclectic constructed over several decades. The mass of the proposed project conforms to and is controlled by the setback requirements. The building is conceived as a well articulated and detailed box, floating above a largely glazed lobby and open parking area. The project will be compatible with other residential uses immediately adjacent and along the El Camino corridor and enhance the character of the immediate neighborhood by removing substandard housing stock and replacing it with a well detailed building of quality contemporary materials.

a. Describe the exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applicable to your property which do not apply to other properties in this area.

A Variance is sought for the 50% front yard landscaping requirement. The project provides 31.9% front landscaping which is 18.3% less than the code requirement. The project, in order to satisfy the parking requirement based on bedroom count, has two curb cuts. Each curb cut and driveway are at 12' in width, or approximately 50% of the front setback area. Properties on both sides of the 1457 El Camino Real have sidewalks placed inward of the property line due to existing tree and landscape locations. The ordinary location for the sidewalk is closer to the curb, in the public right-of-way area designated for sidewalks. The development of this property requires that the sidewalk be on the 1457 El Camino parcel to join sidewalks of adjacent parcels inward of the property line. This area which would ordinarily be landscaped is required to remain as sidewalk and therefore the landscape requirement is not meet.

b. Explain why the variance request is necessary for the preservation and enjoyment of a substantial property right and what unreasonable property loss or unnecessary hardship might result from the denial of the application.

The Variance is necessary as there is no other avenue to provide 50% front landscaping and preserve the two curb cuts necessary for the required number of parking space, allowing the project to contain the density allowable under the code. Denial of this application would result the removal of driveway area necessary for circulation on site to access parking areas.

c. Explain why the proposed use at the proposed location will not be detrimental or injurious to property or improvements in the vicinity or to public health, safety, general welfare or convenience.

Front yard landscaping less than 50% is common among buildings on this particular block of El Camino Real due to driveways and other paved surfaces. Adjacent buildings that do not have a 50% front landscaped area include: 1427, 1436, 1449, and 14461 El Camino Real. A recent application for new construction at 1431 El Camino Real provides an additional parking space in the front yard with "grasscrete" pavers that is counted toward the front landscaping requirements. The landscaped area proposed at 1457 will be of higher aesthetic quality than the larger areas found on adjacent properties. Approval of the Variance will not be injurious to public health, safety, general welfare, or convenience.

d. How will the proposed project be compatible with the aesthetics, mass, bulk and character of the existing and potential uses on adjoining properties in the general vicinity?

The proposed project, in its residential use, will be consistent with the character of residential apartment and condominium buildings at the 1400 block of El Camino Real. The simple landscape palate proposed of white barked Betula Jacquemontii (Himalayan Birch) and low-water but dense Lomandra (an evergreen clumping grass) will provide a welcome contrast to the hodge-podge plantings on adjacent properties.



ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION FORM

(to be completed by applicant when Negative Declaration or Environmental Impact Report is required)

GENERAL INFORMATION

Project Address: 1457 El Camino Real	Assessor's Parcel Number: 026-013-050
Applicant Name: Rabih Ballout Address: 3000 Hillside Drive	Property Owner Name: Rabih Ballout Address: 3000 Hillside Drive
City/State/Zip: Burlingame CA 94010	City/State/Zip: Burlingame CA 94010
Phone: (650) 208-3886	Phone: (650) 208-3886
Permit applications required for this project (specondominium permit, building permit, etc.): Conc	ecial permit, variance, subdivision map, parcel map, ditional Use, Condominium permit, Building Permit
Related permits, applications and approvals require Agencies:	ed for this project by City, Regional, State and Federal
SITE INFORMATION Site size:187 Acres = 8170	Square Feet Existing Zoning:R-3
Existing use(s) of property: Residential	
Total Number of Existing Parking Spaces ¹ : 4	
Number of Existing Structures and Total Square Fo 1700 sqft, rear building 700 sqft	
Will any structures be demolished for this project? Size and use of structures to be demolished: Res	X Yes No sidential, 1700 and 700
Number and size of existing trees on site ² : 3 at f	ront of building along El Camino Real
Will any of the existing tress be removed? X	_Yes No
If Yes, list number, size and type of trees to be rem_species unknown	oved: at front of property, remove 2 small trees
Are there any natural or man-made water channels Yes X No If Yes, where	

¹ City of Burlingame minimum standard parking space size is 9'x20'. The minimum size for compact parking spaces is 8'x17'. Refer to City of Burlingame Zoning Ordinance C.S. 25.70 for parking requirements for particular uses.

² Refer to the City of Burlingame's Urban Reforestation and Tree Protection Ordinance (C.S. 11.06) for tree removal permit and tree planting requirements.

City of Burlingame Planning Department 501 Primrose Road P(650) 558-7250 F(650) 696-3790 <u>www.burlingame.org</u>
Describe in general the existing surrounding land uses to the: North_Residential
South Residential
E. Camino Peal with Posidontial at East Side
West Residential
PROPOSED PROJECT
Project Description: New 9 unit multi-family residential building, basement parking, ground floor parking and lobby, 2nd-4th Story residential use, private rooftop terraces for upper level
dwelling units
Residential Projects:
Number of Dwelling Units: 9 Size of Unit(s): Varies: 763 sqft 2 bed/1 bath, 789 sqft: 2 bed/2 bath units, 1191-1219 sqft
2 bed/2.5 bath two story units
Household size (number of persons per unit) expected: 3-4 average household size expected
Commercial/Industrial Projects:
Type and square footage of each use:
Estimated number of employees per shift:
Will the project involve the use, disposal or emission of potentially hazardous materials (including petroleum products)?YesNo
If Yes, please describe:
Institutional Projects (public facilities, hospitals, schools):
Major function of facility:
Estimated number of employees per shift: Estimated Occupancy:
For all Projects:
Flood Hazard: Is this site within a special flood hazard area?YesX_No
Land Use: If the project involves a conditional use permit, variance or rezoning application, please explain why the applications are required ³ : Conditional Use for Height over 38' height. Allowable per zoning up to 55' with Conditional Use

³ Please fill out and submit the appropriate application form 9variance special permit, etc.)

City of Burlingame Planning Department 501 Primrose Road 1	?(650) 558-7250 F(650) 696-3790 <u>www.burlingame.org</u>
Building gross square footage: Existing:	
Number of floors of construction: Existing:	Proposed:
Traffic/Circulation: Standard and compact off-street p	parking spaces provided:
	pposed: Standard 21
Compact	Compact Total21
Total	Total
Grading: Amount of dirt/fill material being moved (ch	neck one):
O-500 cubic yards 5,0 X 500-5,000 cubic yards O Note: If fill is being placed over existing bay fill, pro	000-20,000 cubic yards
X 500-5,000 cubic yards O	ver 20,000 cubic yards(indicate amount)
Note: If fill is being placed over existing bay fill, pro the new fill on the underlying bay mud.	vide engineering reports which show the effect of
the new fin on the underlying bay mud.	
Storm water runoff: Indicate area of site to be cove etc.): 6300 sqft	ered with impervious surfaces (parking lot paving,
Is the area with impervious surfaces less than 200 feet a	away from a wetland, stream, lagoon or bay?
YesXNo	
Noise: Describe noise sources and timing of activity ge Excavation and shoring, concrete pouring for ba	enerated by your project during construction:sement, wood framing
Noise sources generated during operation of facility:	typical for residential use
Noise sources generated during operation of facility.	typical for residential doc
Vibration: Will the proposal cause vibration that may sources of vibration: Construction techniques to b	e determined for basement construction
may include drilled piers for installation of lagging	դ. TBD by Structural Engineer
Exterior Lighting: Please describe any proposed exter for wayfinding at entry, building number illumination	rior lighting of the facility ⁴ : low voltage lighting
Water: Expected amount of water usage: Water use	
Domestic 200 gal/day Peak use	gal/min
Commercialgal/day Peak use _ Expected fire flow demandTBD by fire sprinkler	gal/min
contractor	gan min
As per the C.3 regulations set forth by the Californirespond to the following questions:	
1. Would the proposed project result in an increase No	on pondant discharges to receiving waters:

⁴ Refer to City of Burlingame Exterior Illumination Ordinance (No. 1477) regarding requirements which limit exterior illumination in both residential and commercial zones.

2. Would the	proposed project result in significant alteration of receiving water quality during or tion? No
cm Na	proposed project result in increased impervious surfaces and associated increased
	proposed project create a significant adverse environmental impact to drainage patterns runoff flow rates volumes? No
5. Would the	proposed project result in increased erosion in its watershed? No
Section 303(d) list	ect tributary to an already impaired water body, as listed on the Clean Water Action? If so will it result in an increase in any pollutant for which the water body is already
quality, to marine,	proposed project have a potential significant environmental impact on surface water fresh, or wetland
8. Would the	proposed project have a potentially significant adverse impact on ground water quality?
	roposed project cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or ving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses? No
10. Will the pr	roject impact aquatic, wetland, or riparian habitat?
Sewer: Expected Source of wastew residential use	daily sewer discharge 200 gal/day per unit x 9 units: 1800 g per day ater discharge on site (i.e. restrooms, restaurants, laboratory, material processing, etc.) s

Gen	AMO	1.
Gell	CI a	ı.

Are the following items applicable to the project or its effects? Provide attachment t items checked 'yes'.	o explain	nature of all
Change in existing features of any bays, tidelands, beaches, or hills, or substantial alteration of ground contours.	Yes	No No
Change in scenic views or vistas from existing residential areas or public lands or roads.		<u>X</u>
Change in pattern, scale or character of general area of project.		X
Significant amounts of solid waste or litter.		X
Change in dust, ash, smoke fumes or odors in vicinity.		_X
Change in bay, lagoon, stream, channel or groundwater quality or quantity, or alteration of existing drainage patterns.		X
Substantial change in existing noise or vibration levels in the vicinity (during construction and/or during operation).		X
Site on filled land or on slope of 10 % or more.		Х
Use or disposal of potentially hazardous materials, such as toxic substances, flammable materials or explosives.		X
Substantial change in demand for municipal services (police, fire water, sewage)		X
Substantial increase in fossil fuel consumption (oil, natural gas, etc.).		X
Relationship to a larger project or series of projects.		X
CERTIFICATION		

I hereby certify that the statements furnished above and in the attached exhibits present the data and information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and information presented are true and

06/25/2018 Signature _ Year Kushaynn

correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Date

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
I. A	ESTHETICS. Except as provided in Public Resources Code Secti	ion 21099, would	the project:		
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
c)	In nonurbanized areas, substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of public views of the site and its surroundings? (Public views are those that are experienced from publicly accessible vantage point). If the project is in an urbanized area, would the project conflict with applicable zoning and other regulations governing scenic quality?				
d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
	AGRICULTURE AND FORESTRY RESOURCES. In dete environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional determining whether impacts to forest resources, including timbe to information compiled by the California Department of Forestr including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Protocols adopted by the California Department of Forest Protocols adopted by the California Department of	a Agricultural La model to use in rland, are signifi y and Fire Prote orest Legacy As	nd Evaluation and assessing impacts cant environmental ction regarding the sessment project;	Site Assessment s on agriculture ar effects, lead ager e state's inventory and forest carbon	Model (1997) and farmland. In acies may refer of forest land,
a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?				
c)	Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code Section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g))?				
d)	Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
e)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
III. <i>i</i>	AIR QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria establis			anagement district	or air pollution
a)	control district may be relied upon to make the following determin. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	ations. Would the	e project:		
b)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard?				
c)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?				
d)	Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people?				

	Issues		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
IV. I	BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:		impuot	moorporatou	impuot	impact
a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or thr habitat modifications, on any species identified as a ca sensitive, or special status species in local or regional policies, or regulations, or by the California Departmen and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	ndidate, plans,				
b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habit other sensitive natural community identified in local or plans, policies, regulations or by the California Departr Fish and Wildlife or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	regional				
c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrointerruption, or other means?					
d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with est native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impeduse of native wildlife nursery sites?	ablished				
e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy ordinance?					
f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation I other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation?					
V. C	CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:					
a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance historical resource pursuant to § 15064.5?					
b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance archaeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5?					
c)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred o of dedicated cemeteries?	utside				
VI.	ENERGY. Would the project:					
a)	Result in potentially significant environmental impact d wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of er resources, during project construction or operation?					
b)	Conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renew energy or energy efficiency?	able				
VII.	. GEOLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:					
a)	Directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involved to the control of t	ving:				
	 Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineate the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Z Map, issued by the State Geologist for the area of on other substantial evidence of a known fault? R Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 	oning r based lefer to				
	ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?					
	iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefact	tion?	H	\vdash	H	닏
	iv) Landslides?					
b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?				
d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property?				
e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
f)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?				
VIII.	GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:				
a)	Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?				
b)	Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				
IX.	HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the proj	ort.			
a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code § 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard or excessive noise for people residing or working in the				
f)	project area? Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
g)	Expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires?				
X. F	IYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or ground water quality?				
b)	Substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin?				
c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river or through the addition of impervious surfaces, in a manner which would:				

		have a	Potentially Significant	Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant	No
	i۱	Issues result in a substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site;	Impact	Incorporated	Impact	Impact
	i) ii)	substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or offsite;				
	iii)	create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff; or				
	iv)	impede or redirect flood flows?				
d) e)	pollu	ood hazard, tsunami, or seiche zones, risk release of utants due to project inundation? flict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality				
٥,		rol plan or sustainable groundwater management plan?				
XI.	LANI	O USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:				
a)	Phy	sically divide an established community?				
b)	any	se a significant environmental impact due to a conflict with land use plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the cose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				
XII.	MINI	ERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a)		ult in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource would be a value to the region and the residents of the e?				
b)	resc	ult in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral surce recovery site delineated on a local general plan, cific plan or other land use plan?				
XIII		SE. Would the project result in:				
a)	in an	eration of a substantial temporary or permanent increase mbient noise levels in the vicinity of the project in excess tandards established in the local general plan or noise nance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
b)		eration of excessive groundborne vibration or undborne noise levels?				
c)	an a ado _l airp	a project located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or irport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been pted, within two miles of a public airport or public use ort, would the project expose people residing or working in project area to excessive noise levels?				
XIV		PULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:				
a)	eithe busi	ice substantial unplanned population growth in an area, er directly (for example, by proposing new homes and nesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of its or other infrastructure)?				
b)	nec	place substantial numbers of existing people or housing, essitating the construction of replacement housing where?				
XV. a)	Res the facil facil envi	BLIC SERVICES. Would the project: ult in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with provision of new or physically altered governmental ities, need for new or physically altered governmental ities, the construction of which could cause significant ronmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable rice ratios, response times, or other performance actives for any of the public services:				

		Potentially	Less Than Significant With	Less Than	
	Issues	Significant Impact	Mitigation Incorporated	Significant Impact	No Impact
	Fire protection?	H	H	H	
	Police protection?	닏		\sqcup	Ц
	Schools?	닏	님	H	Ц
	Parks?		H	H	H
	Other public facilities?				
XVI	RECREATION.				
a)	Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				
b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
XVI	I. TRANSPORTATION. Would the project:				
a)	Conflict with a program, plan, ordinance or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle and pedestrian facilities?				
b)	Conflict or be inconsistent with CEQA Guidelines § 15064.3, subdivision (b)?				
c)	Substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				
d)	Result in inadequate emergency access?				
XVI	II. TRIBAL CULTURAL RESOURCES.				
a)	Would the project cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a tribal cultural resource, defined in Public Resources Code § 21074 as either a site, feature, place, cultural landscape that is geographically defined in terms of the size and scope of the landscape, sacred place, or object with cultural value to a California Native American tribe, and that is:				
	 Listed or eligible for listing in the California Register of Historical Resources, or in a local register of historical resources as defined in Public Resources Code section 5020.1(k), or 				
	ii) A resource determined by the lead agency, in its discretion and supported by substantial evidence, to be significant pursuant to criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resources Code § 5024.1. In applying the criteria set forth in subdivision (c) of Public Resource Code § 5024.1, the lead agency shall consider the significance of the resource to a California Native American tribe.				
	UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
a)	Require or result in the relocation or construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment or storm water drainage, electric power, natural gas, or telecommunications facilities, the construction or relocation of which could cause significant environmental effects?				

	Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry and multiple dry years?				
c)	Result in a determination by the waste water treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
d)	Generate solid waste in excess of state or local standards, or in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure, or otherwise impair the attainment of solid waste reduction goals?				
e)	Comply with federal, state, and local management and reduction statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
XX.	WILDFIRE. If located in or near state responsibility areas or project:	lands classified	as very high fire h	azard severity zo	ones, would the
a)	Substantially impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
b)	Due to slope, prevailing winds, and other factors, exacerbate wildfire risks, and thereby expose project occupants to pollutant concentrations from a wildfire or the uncontrolled spread of a wildfire?				
c)	Require the installation or maintenance of associated infrastructure (such as roads, fuel breaks, emergency water sources, power lines or other utilities) that may exacerbate fire risk or that may result in temporary or ongoing impacts to the environment?				
d)	Expose people or structures to significant risks, including downslope or downstream flooding or landslides, as a result of runoff, post-fire slope instability, or drainage changes?				
XXI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.					
a)	Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, substantially reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects.)				
c)	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				