



**BURLINGAME CITY COUNCIL
Unapproved Minutes
Regular Meeting on November 4, 2019**

STUDY SESSION – 6:00 p.m. Council Chambers

**a. DISCUSSION OF BUILDING ELECTRIFICATION AND ELECTRIC VEHICLE
INFRASTRUCTURE REACH CODES**

Sustainability Coordinator Sigalle Michael began by discussing the increased attention that climate action measures are receiving in the news and by government organizations. She explained that this focus has assisted in the creation of reach codes that go beyond the State's building code requirements. She stated that the goal of reach codes is to further reduce greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. She noted that California passed mandates for carbon neutrality by 2045.

Ms. Michael stated that adopting reach codes would help the City meet its mandates in the Climate Action Plan.

Ms. Michael displayed a pie chart that outlined the City's emissions inventory:

- 50% - Transportation
- 24% - Natural Gas
- 21% - Electricity
- 4% - Solid Waste
- 1% - Water and Wastewater

She noted that as a result of the efforts of Peninsula Clean Energy ("PCE"), it is expected that the City's emissions from electricity will be zeroed out in the next decade. Therefore, the City could focus on decreasing natural gas emissions through building code amendments.

Ms. Michael explained that natural gas emissions make up about two-thirds of the emissions in residential buildings, with the remaining third being electricity. She stated that the split between natural gas and electricity in commercial buildings is 50/50. She explained that the residential buildings use natural gas for heating and cooling, stove tops, and laundry machines.

Ms. Michael stated that PCE, with the support of the San Mateo County Office of Sustainability and Silicon Valley Clean Energy, is encouraging local jurisdictions to adopt reach codes. She explained that PCE has

focused on three areas for their approach to reach codes:

1. Building electrification -PCE's proposal is that new developments must either be all-electric, or alternatively, they may use natural gas for heating, cooling, and cooking provided they meet 15% higher efficiency standards and install the wiring and circuits for electric appliances.
2. Solar – Under the 2019 Building Code, all new single-family homes are required to install solar power. PCE's proposal is to extend that requirement to include a minimum amount of on-site solar on commercial and large multi-family developments.
3. EV Infrastructure – The State has created a goal of five million zero emission vehicles on the road by 2030 and 250,000 EV charging stations by 2025. PCE's proposal is to require Level 2 or Level 1 outlets in new residential and commercial.

Ms. Michael explained that the PCE approach relies on incentivizing developments to choose all-electric over the dual-fuel option. She noted that incentivizing developers to choose an electric option over natural gas may not result in the reach code's intended outcome.

Ms. Michael discussed Menlo Park's more progressive approach. She noted that the Menlo Park approach is an all-electric approach and focuses on the following:

1. Low residential (1-3 stories) – electric space and water heating is required, but natural gas is allowed for stove tops, dryers, and fireplaces
2. High Multifamily and Commercial – all electric is required
3. Exemptions – life science buildings, emergency centers, and nonresidential kitchens

Ms. Michael reviewed Menlo Park's code and stated that it has a much clearer permit process than the PCE approach. She noted that under Menlo Park's approach, there will be greater GHG reductions. However, she explained that there will be an increase in their electricity load and costs.

Ms. Michael stated that she talked with developers about the different approaches to reach codes. She explained that what she learned is that developers are looking for something predictable and certain. She stated that the developers also discussed how the higher efficiency requirements could be very costly and difficult to obtain. She noted that staff also heard that not allowing natural gas could limit technology like fuel cell and backup generators.

Ms. Michael reviewed the Bay Area cities that have taken action on reach codes:

1. PCE Reach Code – San Mateo
2. All-Electric Approach – Berkeley, Brisbane, Menlo Park, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, Redwood City, San Jose, and San Mateo County

Mayor Colson opened the study session up for public comment.

Suzanne Emerson discussed the health and safety issues of burning natural gas in an individual's home. She asked the Council to adopt a robust reach code.

Ryan Guibara discussed the importance of developers having the flexibility to have natural gas in a new

project. He explained that one of the projects he is working on has a natural gas generator on the roof, which is better than a diesel generator. He also discussed the increased electricity costs of an all-electric building.

Robert Whitehair voiced support for an all-electric code. He discussed the positive impact this will have on the environment and how developers need to gain experience in this field. He noted the work that he has done on his house to install solar panels.

Former Mayor Terry Nagel discussed the importance of reach codes and noted that reach codes would only impact new construction and wouldn't require individuals to retrofit their homes. She stated that electricity is more reliable during blackouts as it is quicker to get the electricity back online versus natural gas.

Doug Silverstein voiced concern that under PCE's approach, the incentives wouldn't get the results that the City wants. He asked that the City consider adopting the Menlo Park approach, as the all-electric requirement guarantees results.

Mike McCord stated that this is a huge opportunity for the City. He discussed the creation of a new neighborhood under the City's updated General Plan. He stated that the City has the ability to ensure that the new neighborhood is all-electric and green.

Matthew Mills discussed fracking and the damage that it causes communities. He encouraged the City to adopt the Menlo Park approach.

SummerHill Housing Group Vice President of Development Elaine Breeze reviewed the three areas that the PCE approach focuses on. She explained that in the first area, SummerHill would favor the mixed fuel option. She stated that they are constructing all-electric buildings with the exception of the boiler system and stove tops. Under the requirement for solar panels, she noted her support. She stated that the EV infrastructure was a more difficult area for SummerHill as a result of unintended consequences. She explained that under CALGreen, EV stalls are larger than what is required under the City's building code for parking spaces. Therefore, she stated that developers will lose parking spaces and have to decrease the number of units they can build. She noted that for SummerHill's project, they would need to add above-grade transformers because they are in a floodplain.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked why EV parking spaces are larger than normal spaces. Ms. Breeze replied that it is a requirement under CALGreen.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked why the developers prefer natural gas over electricity for heating water. Ms. Breeze stated that it is because it is more efficient. She noted that they use electricity for heating the units but natural gas for water heating.

Councilmember Ortiz asked why Ms. Breeze's company chose to use natural gas cooktops. Ms. Breeze stated that it was market driven.

Councilmember Keighran asked how much the above-ground transformers cost. SummerHill representative

Eric Hansen stated that transformers cost approximately \$100,000. He noted that for SummerHill, the question is really about capacity. He stated that for the project that they are doing on Adrian Road, they will utilize four transformers.

Mr. Hansen discussed how the reach codes could raise the electrical demand on the Adrian Road project by about 40%. He noted that the bulk of the increase is in electrical vehicle charging.

Councilmember Keighran asked if a single-family home would have to have a transformer. Mr. Hansen replied in the negative.

Mr. Hansen stated that the biggest unintended consequence of reach codes is electrical demand. He stated that the amount of electrical infrastructure that would be required under the reach codes for EV stalls is an increase of 20-50%. He explained that it would require additional transformers and therefore decrease the space that a developer has for their project.

Mr. Hansen stated that in the first area, he was in favor of mixed fuel. He stated that while the market is moving towards electric ranges, the technology is not yet there for efficiently heating water.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that twice the developers had used the term efficiency when discussing gas heated water. He asked how Mr. Hansen was defining efficiency. Mr. Hansen stated that he was using the definition under the Title 24 model.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that if the energy is clean, then it doesn't matter how much is needed. Mr. Hansen agreed that electricity if furnished in a clean manner is cleaner than natural gas.

Councilmember Ortiz asked Mr. Hansen to discuss the differences of a gas boiler system versus electric. Mr. Hansen stated that if a developer utilizes gas for water heating, they can use a central boiling system, which is usually located on the roof. He explained that the electric system isn't at commercial scale yet. Instead, they would have to utilize several small electric water heaters, and each unit would have its own tank water heater. He noted that this would require building a closet in the units for the heater and therefore decrease the usable square footage of the unit.

PCE Director of Energy Programs Rafael Reyes explained that PCE is now recommending Menlo Park's approach because it's simpler and more effective. He noted that the UC system has made a commitment to construct all-electric buildings going forward, including their dormitories. He stated that the UC system believes all-electric is less expensive to build and operational would be a wash when compared to natural gas. Additionally, he stated that the requirement for electric vehicles would be one EV space per unit.

Councilmember Brownrigg noted that the City only requires one parking space per unit. Therefore, the lot would be all EV spaces.

Vice Mayor Beach clarified that PCE is now recommending the Menlo Park approach. Mr. Reyes replied in the affirmative.

Mayor Colson stated that it was the PCE staff that was recommending the Menlo Park approach and not the PCE Board. She explained that the Board hadn't had a meeting to discuss whether to recommend the Menlo Park approach.

Vice Mayor Beach asked if the PCE or Menlo Park approach would meet the City's climate action goals. Ms. Michael replied in the affirmative. She noted that if the City does the hybrid approach of allowing gas and electricity, it would meet what is set out in the climate action plan. She explained that the Climate Action Plan requires new single-family homes to have level 2 charging stations for EV.

Mayor Colson asked if choosing a level 1 versus a level 2 charging station for EV changes the size of the parking space. Mr. Reyes replied in the negative. Additionally, he noted that PCE didn't intend to suggest that the parking stalls must meet CALGreen requirements.

Vice Mayor Beach discussed the City's vision for a green neighborhood in the Rollins Road area. She asked if the requirements should be tailored to this new zone versus the infill development in older neighborhoods. CDD Gardiner stated that it was a wash whether the City zoned the new Rollins Road neighborhood greener than others versus a uniform requirement. He stated that staff has put together an RFP for the new Rollins Road Specific Plan and it mentions looking at a district specific approach.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that the City needed to put more time into this matter. He noted that on single-family new construction, he has no problem going to all-electric. He explained that he believed it is indisputable that the City needs to get to all-electric buildings. He stated that this is because the State has to get fossil fuel out of the energy cycle, and therefore the only question is when this should occur. He explained that he believed the change needed to happen at the State level within the next ten years. He noted concerns about the size of EV parking spaces and the need to further review the constraints.

Councilmember Ortiz agreed with Councilmember Brownrigg. He stated that the City should come up with some exclusions to the rule but shoot for all-electric.

Councilmember Keighran discussed how technology is still evolving, and she stated that she needed more information about what the unintended consequences are of going all-electric. Additionally, she asked for a cost analysis. She stated that she wanted to better understand whether PG&E could handle the increased loads of going all-electric. She explained that she would also like to have a conversation with developers about their issues with moving to all-electric.

Vice Mayor Beach stated she believed that Council did need more information. She noted that she wanted answers to three specific matters:

1. How does the City logistically implement all-electric
2. Revisit the zoning parking requirements for transit centers and research EV space requirements
3. If the City goes forward with all-electric, how does this impact other community benefits such as affordable units

Mayor Colson stated that the Council needs to further study this matter. She noted that her immediate concern is that it is critical to get the approximately 1,000 residential units on Rollins Road built. She stated that she needed to hear more from the developers as she stressed the importance of balancing the green element with the need for more units.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked that staff research the economic implications of the reach codes.

1. CALL TO ORDER

A duly noticed meeting of the Burlingame City Council was held on the above date in the City Hall Council Chambers at 7:14 p.m.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG

The pledge of allegiance was led by San Mateo County/Silicon Valley Convention and Visitors Bureau President John Hutar.

3. ROLL CALL

MEMBERS PRESENT: Beach, Brownrigg, Colson, Keighran, Ortiz

MEMBERS ABSENT: None

4. REPORT OUT FROM CLOSED SESSION

There was no closed session.

5. UPCOMING EVENTS

Mayor Colson reviewed the upcoming events taking place in the City.

6. PRESENTATIONS

There were no presentations.

7. PUBLIC COMMENT

Peninsula Healthcare District CEO Cheryl Fama stated that the Peninsula Healthcare District typically meets the fourth Thursday of every month, but that for November it would be Monday, November 18. She also discussed the District's memory café and thanked the City for considering a ban on the sale of flavored tobacco.

Burlingame resident Katherine Goniotakis and Eileen discussed their rental eviction notices and asked the City to consider passing a moratorium on no fault evictions.

Burlingame residents Laura Hinz, Lucy Palasek, and Cindy Cornell discussed the City's work on the affordable housing project on Lot F and N. They discussed AB 1482 and asked that the City pass a moratorium on no fault evictions.

Cesar Gonzalez talked about the moratorium that was passed in other cities and asked that Burlingame consider adopting a similar ordinance.

8. CONSENT CALENDAR

Mayor Colson asked the Councilmembers and the public if they wished to remove any item from the Consent Calendar. Councilmember Ortiz pulled 8i, Councilmember Brownrigg pulled 8d, and Mayor Colson pulled 8d.

Vice Mayor Beach made a motion to adopt items 8a, 8b, 8c, 8e, 8f, 8g, and 8j; seconded by Councilmember Ortiz. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote, 5-0.

a. ADOPTION OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES FOR OCTOBER 21, 2019

City Clerk Hassel-Shearer requested Council approve of the meeting minutes for the October 21, 2019 meeting.

b. ADOPTION OF AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND TITLE 17 AND TITLE 18 OF THE BURLINGAME MUNICIPAL CODE AND ADOPTION BY REFERENCE OF THE 2019 CALIFORNIA BUILDING STANDARDS CODE AND THE 2018 EDITION OF THE INTERNATIONAL FIRE CODE

CDD Gardiner requested Council adopt Ordinance Number 1969.

c. ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO NEGOTIATE AND EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH BUREAU VERITAS NORTH AMERICA, INC. FOR PLAN CHECK AND BUILDING INSPECTION SERVICES FOR FISCAL YEAR 2019-2020 TO INCREASE THE CONTRACT AMOUNT BY \$700,000 TO COVER EXPECTED EXPENSES RELATED TO THE BURLINGAME POINT DEVELOPMENT PROJECT.

CDD Gardiner requested Council adopt Resolution Number 129-2019.

d. ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE 2019 TOURISM BUSINESS IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT ANNUAL REPORT AND TO GIVE NOTICE OF INTENT TO LEVY ASSESSMENTS FOR 2020

Mayor Colson explained that she pulled this item in order to introduce John Hutar, who has replaced Anne LeClair as San Mateo County/Silicon Valley Convention and Visitors Bureau President.

Mr. Hutar stated that he has been in the position for six months, and as a resident of Burlingame, he is very thankful to have his dream job.

Mayor Colson thanked Mr. Hutar for the work that the Visitors Bureau does for the County.

Mayor Colson opened the item up for public comment. No one spoke.

Councilmember Brownrigg made a motion to adopt Resolution Number 130-2019; seconded by Councilmember Keighran. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote.

- e. **ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE AMENDMENTS TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS TO EXTEND THE TERM OF AGREEMENT FOR VARIOUS CONSULTING FIRMS**

CDD Gardiner requested Council adopt Resolution Number 131-2019.

- f. **ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TENTATIVE AND FINAL PARCEL MAP (PM 19-02), LOT MERGER OF PARCELS 1, 2, 3, 4, AND 5 AS DESCRIBED IN GRANT DEED VOLUME 5902 AT PAGE 111 IN SAN MATEO COUNTY RECORDS, LOCATED AT 1350 BAYSHORE HIGHWAY**

DPW Murtuza requested Council adopt Resolution Number 132-2019.

- g. **ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE TENTATIVE AND FINAL PARCEL MAP (PM 19-03), LOT MERGER OF LOTS 8, 9, 14, 15, AND PORTION OF LOT 7, BLOCK 10, MAP OF POLO FIELD SUBDIVISION AT CITY PARKING LOT N LOCATED AT 160 LORTON AVENUE**

DPW Murtuza requested Council adopt Resolution Number 133-2019.

- h. **ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENT WITH CSG CONSULTANTS FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND CONSTRUCTION INSPECTION SERVICES FOR THE NEW CITY PARKING GARAGE (LOT N) LOCATED AT 160 LORTON AVENUE IN THE AMOUNT OF \$208,320**

Councilmember Brownrigg explained that this item deals with hiring a project management company to oversee the Lot N Parking Garage construction. He stated that he has become more familiar with the concept of wage theft. He explained that wage theft is when unethical contractors promise to pay prevailing wage and then don't and pocket the difference. He stated that in the list of things that the project management company oversees, he would like it to include an audit of workers' pay. DPW Murtuza replied in the affirmative.

Mayor Colson asked if this would require an amendment to the contract. DPW Murtuza replied that he would review the scope of work.

Mayor Colson opened the item up for public comment. No one spoke.

Councilmember Brownrigg made a motion to adopt Resolution Number 134-2019; seconded by Vice Mayor Beach. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote, 5-0.

i. **ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXPENDITURE OF UP TO \$15,000 IN MATCHING FUNDS FOR HOLIDAY DECORATIONS IN THE BURLINGAME AVENUE AND BROADWAY COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS**

Councilmember Ortiz stated that his concern when reading the staff report is that there was no comment about prohibiting City funds from being used for any religious symbols. He asked that it be clear that City funds are not used to purchase religious symbols.

Mayor Colson stated the lighting contract is for putting lights on the trees, trellises, and the train station. She explained that she made it clear that wintery themed designs are okay, but religious symbols are not.

Mayor Colson opened the item up for public comment. No one spoke.

Councilmember Ortiz made a motion to adopt Resolution Number 135-2019; seconded by Councilmember Keighran. The motion passed unanimously by voice vote, 5-0.

j. **ADOPTION OF RESOLUTIONS AUTHORIZING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES AGREEMENTS WITH THE EDCCO GROUP AND TELSTAR INSTRUMENTS FOR THE SUPERVISORY CONTROL AND DATA ACQUISITION (SCADA) SYSTEM SERVICES RELATED TO THE CITY'S UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE SYSTEM FOR \$375,000**

DPW Murtuza requested Council adopt Resolution Number 136-2019 and Resolution Number 137-2019.

9. **PUBLIC HEARINGS**

a. **INTRODUCTION OF AN ORDINANCE BANNING THE SALE OF FLAVORED TOBACCO PRODUCTS, INCLUDING VAPING LIQUIDS**

Councilmember Keighran recused herself from this item due to her work on the San Mateo County ordinance concerning the same matter.

City Attorney Kane stated that the proposed ordinance was drafted based on Council direction. She noted that the proposed ordinance bans both flavored tobacco as well as vaping liquids that contain nicotine and have characteristic flavors other than tobacco. She explained that the ordinance bans the sale of flavored tobacco products, including vaping liquids. Moreover, the ordinance bans the online sale of these products when the delivery address is in Burlingame.

City Attorney Kane stated that staff reached out to the Chamber of Commerce to see if any of Burlingame's retailers had concerns on this issue. She noted that staff had not received any responses.

Vice Mayor Beach asked what the effective date of the proposed ordinance would be if adopted.

Mayor Colson stated that it would be effective April 1, 2020.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked in terms of enforcement, if violations of public nuisance carry a fine. City Attorney Kane replied in the affirmative. She stated that the ordinance would be enforced under the City's existing mechanisms.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked what the fine is. City Attorney Kane stated that the fines are provided under the default code enforcement as \$100, \$200, \$500 a day, and each day is a new offense.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked if the City was aware of a delivery being made to a home in Burlingame, would the company be fined \$100. City Attorney Kane replied in the affirmative and stated that \$100 would be the fine for the first offense. She noted that each home would be a different offense, and each day would be a new offense. She added that under the City's code, there is an option for an administrative proceeding, which is more flexible but not well-suited for out-of-jurisdiction actors.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that the City might want to include a stricter fine so as to create a deterrent.

City Attorney Kane explained that the City is somewhat limited by the State as to the amount a fine can be for a code enforcement offense.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that under the proposed ordinance, a company could lose their business license. He asked if online retailers have Burlingame business licenses. City Attorney Kane replied that if you do business in Burlingame, you are supposed to have a license, but most likely an online retailer wouldn't have a business license.

Councilmember Brownrigg asked if the City includes vaping in the definition of smoking. City Attorney Kane replied in the negative.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that he would like to see vaping included in the definition of smoking. He noted that this could be amended in a future ordinance.

Mayor Colson stated that some of the research that is coming out is about marijuana-infused vaping. She asked if this is a different category of legislation. City Attorney Kane replied in the affirmative. She explained that the proposed ordinance reflects the County's model that dealt with tobacco and nicotine-containing products. She stated that THC-containing products are a different matter and something that the Council can review.

Mayor Colson stated that she and Councilmember Ortiz met with the Youth Advisory Committee (“YAC”) about BHS students vaping. She noted that while the staff report states that 37% of 12th graders are vaping, BHS students self-reported out at a much higher number.

City Attorney Kane stated that her report contains the last documented national survey data. She noted that the number has been rising since the survey was created.

Mayor Colson asked the City Clerk to read the title of the proposed ordinance. City Clerk Hassel-Shearer read the title.

Councilmember Brownrigg made a motion to waive further reading and introduce the ordinance; seconded by Councilmember Ortiz. The motion passed by voice vote, 4-0-1 (Keighran recused).

Mayor Colson opened the public hearing.

Burlingame resident Eileen asked if the ordinance was on the sale of flavored tobacco or on the use.

Mayor Colson stated that the ordinance is to ban the sale of flavored tobacco.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that the City was taking the right step to ban the sale of flavored tobacco. He thanked the City Attorney for taking the Michigan approach by enacting a permanent ban that includes online sales.

Mayor Colson asked that the proposed ordinance be brought back for adoption at the next City Council meeting.

10. STAFF REPORTS

a. CONSIDERATION OF TWO APPOINTMENTS TO THE BEAUTIFICATION COMMISSION

Mayor Colson noted that the Parks and Recreation Commission interviews were held on the same night as the Beautification and Traffic, Safety & Parking Commission interviews. She explained that after the close of the application date, one of the Parks and Recreation Commission incumbents chose to pull their application. She stated that in the spirit of the policy that Council previously instituted, which stated that the application period would be extended for a week when an incumbent doesn’t reapply, the Council chose to extend the application period for the Parks and Recreation Commission.

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that he was unable to attend the interviews and unable to listen to the recordings. Accordingly, he explained that he would be abstaining from the vote.

Mayor Colson stated that for the Beautification Commission there were two seats, and three candidates applied: Marcia Bauer, Qiva Dinuri, and Anne Hinckle.

Mayor Colson opened the item up for public comment. No one spoke.

The Council voted and handed their ballots to City Clerk Hassel-Shearer. City Clerk Hassel-Shearer read the ballots.

Congratulations to Qiva Dinuri and Marcia Bauer, who were appointed to the Beautification Commission to serve three-year terms ending October 7, 2022.

The Council thanked Anne Hinckle for her years of service on the Beautification Commission.

b. CONSIDERATION OF ONE APPOINTMENT TO THE TRAFFIC, SAFETY AND PARKING COMMISSION

Councilmember Brownrigg stated that he was unable to attend the interviews and unable to listen to the recordings. Accordingly, he explained that he would be abstaining from the vote.

Mayor Colson stated that there was one opening on the Traffic, Safety and Parking Commission, and that two candidates applied: John Martos and James Lico.

Mayor Colson opened the item up for public comment. No one spoke.

The Council voted and handed their ballots to City Clerk Hassel-Shearer. City Clerk Hassel-Shearer read the ballots.

Congratulations to John Martos, who was re-appointed to the Traffic, Safety and Parking Commission to serve a three-year term ending November 6, 2022.

The Council thanked James Lico for applying to serve on the Traffic, Safety and Parking Commission.

11. COUNCIL COMMITTEE AND ACTIVITIES REPORTS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS

a. MAYOR COLSON'S COMMITTEE REPORT

12. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

Vice Mayor Beach stated that in consideration of the earlier public comments, she asked that Council agendize a discussion on a no-fault eviction moratorium. Councilmember Brownrigg seconded this request, and therefore Council agreed to agendize the item.

Councilmember Keighran asked that when the no-fault eviction moratorium is brought back for discussion, staff include the language of Measure R from the City's 2016 election. Additionally, Councilmember Brownrigg asked that staff research and include information about Governor Newsom's statewide emergency declaration.

Councilmember Brownrigg discussed a financing program that purchases affordable multi-family developments when they come up for sale and maintains the units at affordable levels for 30 years. He noted that this program was developed in Southern California but has since been deployed in Fairfield and Menlo Park. He asked that the Council schedule a discussion on this program. Councilmember Ortiz seconded this request. City Manager Goldman stated that she would consult with the City's housing expert Bill Lowell on this matter.

13. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The agendas, packets, and meeting minutes for the Planning Commission, Traffic, Safety & Parking Commission, Beautification Commission, Parks and Recreation Commission, and Library Board of Trustees are available online at www.burlingame.org.

14. ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Colson adjourned meeting at 8:30 p.m. in memory of Sergio Azzolino.

Respectfully submitted,

Meaghan Hassel-Shearer
City Clerk